

ADDENDUM TO IFB DOCUMENTS November 27, 2013

ITB No. 50-12-13 Recyclables Waste Processing Services

BID OPENING DATE: December 3, 2013 @ 3:00 PM ADDENDUM No. 3

To All Respondents:

It is the Respondents' responsibility to assure receipt of all addenda. The Respondent should verify with the designated Purchasing Agent prior to submitting a bid that all addenda have been received. Respondents are required to acknowledge the number of addenda received as part of their bid by checking the box indicated on the bid form.

This addendum is issued to modify the previously issued Solicitation documents and/or given for informational purposes, and is hereby made a part of the bid documents.

Q1. Page 6, Section 1.4.3 Materials Acceptance – Would the City consider that the right to designate or remove other Recyclable Materials as Program Recyclables should be by mutual agreement only?

The question pertaining to Page 6, Section 1.4.3 Materials Acceptance is an attempt to clarify the current language in the IFB that both the City and the Contractor should by mutual agreement have the right to designate or remove other Recyclable Materials as Program Recyclables. It is our interpretation that the language currently in this section of the IFB intends for the designation and removal of other Recyclables Materials as Program Recyclables to be by mutual agreement as referenced by the following words "if the contracting parties agree it is technically feasible" however this section does not specifically say that the City and Contractor will by mutual agreement designate or remove other Recyclables Materials as Programs Recyclables. We would like the City to consider adding language to the effect that the designation and removal of other Recyclables Materials as Programs should be my mutual agreement.

An example of a recyclable material that could be considered for removal as a program recyclable would be glass. However, we would like the City and Contractor to mutually agree before doing so with any recyclable material as the circumstance may arise.

- A1: Yes, both the City and the Contractor should by mutual agreement have the right to designate or remove other Recyclable Materials as Program Recyclables.
- **Q2.** Page 9, Section 1.9 Program Recyclables Revenue: Will the City add a CPI to the contract and the procedure for requesting one?

As it pertains to **Page 9, Section 1.9 Program Recyclables Revenue**, the CPI is applied to the Contractor's Fee which is part of the formula for calculating the corresponding revenue per ton based on AMV and bid percentage. The formula being

- that the Contractor pays the City a percentage of the remaining amount consisting of AMV less contractor's fee. The CPI relates to the Contractor's payment to the City.
- A2: Yes, a CPI (Consumer Price Index) may be add the this contract at the Contractors expense
- **Q3.** Regarding 1.2.4- Designated Processing Facility: Can the bidder list an additional facility to be used as a designated transfer station to recover travel time for city equipment?
- A4: No, the bidder may not list an additional facility to be used as a designated transfer station
- **Q4.** What is the difference between the designated recycling facility and designated processing facility?
- A4: Both mean the same
- **Q5.** The Explanation in 1.9, Program Recyclables Revenue does not correlate with Appendix C.
- A4: Section 1.9.1 (b), Program Recyclables Revenue

"The Contractor shall pay the City a percentage, as provided in Program Recyclable Revenue Form (Appendixes C), of the remaining amount, for each ton of inbound Program Recyclables delivered to the Designated Facility during that month."

- **Q6.** Will the Cities provide the composition percentages for the program recyclables?
- A4: No, the City does not have a composition percentage for the program recyclables
- **Q7.** Is the corresponding Revenue per Ton in Appendix C calculated as a percentage of the monthly AMV or is it to be a fixed number per ton?
- A4: Fixed number per ton
- **Q8.** How does the bidder calculate the percentage paid to the City?
- A4: Basic on the ticket tonnage
- **Q9.** Does the bidder cover their processing costs first, then do a revenue sharing based on percentage of the AMV?
- A4: Yes, the bidder shall cover their processing costs first and then do a revenue sharing based on percentage of the AMV.

*****All other items remain the same*****